

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,221		09/21/2001	Barend Johannes De Klerk	U 013650-0	5855
140	7590	03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	& PARRY	БТ	KERNS, KEVIN P		
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
				1725	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)
	09/960,2	21	DE KLERK, BAREND JOHANNE
Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit
	Kevin P. I	(erns	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the stateriod will apply and westatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 Sentember :	2001	
_	This action is r		
3) Since this application is in condition for all			osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		nsideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		nord or datorn.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election red	quirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have bee	n received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received in Applicati	ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	1	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summa	у	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 031004

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to methods of inhibiting erosion of surfaces, classified in class 208, subclass 47.
 - II. Claims 11-17, drawn to a process vessel, classified in class 422, subclass211.
 - III. Claims 18-28, drawn to a method of converting a reformer for production of synthesis gas, classified in class 422, subclass 198.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Invention II can be used in a process materially different from that of Invention I. For example, the process vessel (product) of Invention II can be used in catalysis processes, as the product has a catalyst bed that is lacking in Invention I. Furthermore, the process of inhibiting erosion of surfaces of Invention I can be practiced on a vessel that lacks a retaining means on a catalyst bed, such as a water tower (vessel) with abrasive sediment within the water as the vessel is being filled/emptied.

Art Unit: 1725

- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are methods of inhibiting erosion of surfaces (Invention I) and a method of converting a reformer for production of synthesis gas (Invention III).
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of Invention III can be used to make a product materially different from that of Invention II. For example, the method of converting a reformer for production of synthesis gas (Invention III) can be practiced on a vessel that lacks a retaining means on a catalyst bed, such as a vessel having a catalyst bed as the vessel base.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (Invention I versus Inventions II and III), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,221

Art Unit: 1725

6. Because Inventions II and III are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group III is not required for Group II, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant elects Group I, applicant must additionally elect the following:

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

la. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of inhibiting erosion of an interior surface

of a process vessel (generic vessel containing solid/liquid/gaseous materials, for use at

any temperature).

lb. Claims 6-10, drawn to a method of inhibiting erosion of an interior

refractory surface of a reformer for producing synthesis gas (specific synthesis gas

reformer vessel containing refractory walls, for use with solid/liquid/gaseous materials at

high temperatures).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must 8. include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/960,221

Art Unit: 1725

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571)

272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Kevin Kerns 3/10/04

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

kpk

March 10, 2004